

Control Number AV 47 000 C700

Control Number: AX-17-000-6709

Printing Date: March 27, 2017 04:15:59



# Citizen Information

Citizen/Originator: Johnson, Lisa

Organization:

Alpha American Company, Yukon Furnaces

Address:

10 Industrial Boulevard, P.O. Box 20, Palisade, MN 56469

Constituent:

N/A

Committee:

N/A

Sub-Committee:

N/A

## **Control Information**

**Control Number:** 

AX-17-000-6709

**Alternate Number:** 

N/A

Status:

Pending

Closed Date: # of Extensions:

N/A 0

Due Date: Letter Date: Apr 10, 2017 Mar 24, 2017

Received Date:

Mar 24, 2017

Addressee:

**AD-Administrator** 

Addressee Org:

EPA

Contact Type:

LTR (Letter)

**Priority Code:** 

Normal

Signature:

AD-Administrator

Signature Date:

N/A

File Code:

404-141-02-01\_141\_b Controlled and Major Corr. Record copy of the offices of Division

Directors and other personnel.

Subject:

DRF - Small manufacturer of UL Listed multi-fuel and add-on wood furnaces seeks assistance

from Administrator, noting their company and others will have to cease production because of

impossibility of complying with a new EPA rule that revises the NSPS (New Source Performance Standard) for Residential Wood Heaters Rule starting May 15, 2017.

Instructions:

AD-Prepare draft response for the Administrator's signature

Instruction Note:

N/A

**General Notes:** 

Lisa Johnson, author of incoming email, is UL & EPA Compliance Liaison and Manager of

Marketing and Sales for the Alpha American Company, which makes Yukon Furnaces

CC:

Kristien Knapp - AO-IO

### **Lead Information**

Lead Author:

N/A

#### **Lead Assignments:**

Assigner	Office	Assignee	Assigned Date	Due Date	Complete Date		
Anne Hargrove	OEX	OAR	Mar 27, 2017	Apr 10, 2017	N/A		
	Instruction: AD-Prepare draft response for the Administrator's signature						
Barbara Mat- thews	OAR	OAR-OAQPS	Mar 27, 2017	Apr 5, 2017	N/A		
	Instruction: AD-Prepare draft response for the Administrator's signature						

## Supporting Information

Supporting Author: N/A

**Supporting Assignments:** 

Assigner	Office	Assi	gnee A	ssigned Date
		No Record Fo	und.	

IVIAICH 24, 2017 4:51 PIVI ott <Pruitt.Scott@epa.gov>

2S New Source Performance Standard for Residential Wood Heaters - the company I work for needs your he

trator Pruitt,

ns on your new position as the EPA Administrator! Folks here in Northern MN are happy that we finally have ecommon sense about the feasibility of allowing companies to continue to work and operate their businesses erreaching regulations and protect the environment at the same time. I sure hope you will be able to come to

3 years I have been working for Alpha American Company. This company has been in business since 1970 top the production and selling of very well built UL Listed multi-fuel and add-on wood furnaces due to the nev ts our products. The revisions made to the EPA NSPS for Residential Wood Heaters rule (2015) has given o QQQQ) only a 2 years period for transition. Note: Forced air furnaces had always been exempt from this rule ent into effect in 2015. The products that we build (large furnaces - over 65,000btu's) are required to be com - which gives us only 50 (or so) more days before this company will be forced to shutter the doors.

's manufacturing facility is based in a small rural community in north central MN (population of 200 people) ar 8 employees. Since this rule has gone into effect in May of 2015, the amount of employees has gone down b ar company profits have gone down at least by 25%!

ou today to ask for your support in Repealing (at the very least offering an Exemption Provision to the rule) th de to the Title 40 Part 60 pertaining to Residential Wood Heaters in 2015 - although, I personally feel that the pealed. It is a shame to think that a family (or families), for the past 40 plus years, have put all their money an at now will have to let go the employees and shut the doors! Many of the companies, including Alpha America : 40 year mark of being in business were there to solve this Country's problem of alleviating the high costs of to middle class sectors during and after the era of the Arab Oil Embargo. This company, Alpha American Cc company to consider having the furnaces Tested by UL for Safety, and took the time and money to design wh offer people a choice of heating fuel to burn through one furnace (multi-fuel oil/wood/coal, gas/wood/coal and 'coal). To be a UL Registered Firm is not a cheap endeavor for any company - there are the product reviews the 10's of thousands of dollars, there are quarterly inspections that run the company \$1,500.00 per visit. The he company another \$500 to \$1,000 per Label run (this does not include the cost of the labels - and being th ring in 6 different UL Labels). Should the UL Field Inspector find a Variance in Procedure due to a change in a art that is brought in for production that does not match in our Procedure, that can run \$1,000,00 per Varianc

merican Company, (we) are not against clean air and water, we live in an area that has many lakes, rive e the water and land that surround our homes. However, I am against an Federal Agency putting Rules and F scribing unproven Test Methods without adequately demonstrating BSER (Best Systems of Emissions Reductions and Emissions Reductions Reductions Reductions and Emissions Reductions Reduction Reduction Reduction Reductions ustry any data supporting their claims whatsoever. At the time of Public Comments for the Proposed Rule, the sideration the input from the industry about transferring technology between wood stoves, wood boilers and w EDA at the time just assumed that the technology sould be transferred to

ED\_002123 00000265-00002

re not adhering to NFPA's rules on installation or operation of wood burning appliances and they are not com recommendations for installation and operation – the labs are using only a part of a Canadian standard to test there are only but a few products of our type that have been able to pass, which means that by the time Ste ound this industry will be virtually gone. Most of the small companies that build solid fuel forced air furnaces very 15th of this year and won't get anywhere near passing the 2020 emissions limits.

and Federal Compliance Liaison for Alpha American Company, I can tell you that the EPA compliance costs nent to use in R&D, the cost for testing of several furnace model lines in an EPA Approved Laboratory, the conditional cost for keeping records and the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the costs involved Laboratory, the conditional cost for keeping records and the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the costs involved Laboratory, the conditional cost for keeping records and the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the costs involved Laboratory, the conditional costs involved Laboratory, the conditional costs for keeping records and the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the costs involved Laboratory, the conditional costs for keeping records and the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the costs involved Laboratory, the conditional costs for keeping records and the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the costs involved Laboratory in the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the costs involved Laboratory in the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits are much more substantial than the cost for annual audits

vas only given two years to comply to the EPA Rule, which is ludicrous, and it has been proven that two years o bring six furnaces into compliance. Our company has spent the past two years putting one (1) of our multi-lat our facility and have just recently got the unit to the point where we could have a little confidence that it wo it in a laboratory setting, and I mean a little confidence that we would not be wasting a lot money and time. The there that is building this type of product that can survive on offering only one furnace on the market and it is the rest of the products off the market where consumers will have nothing to choose from.

# ic sidential Wood Heaters, the EPA states that

ial wood heaters source category is different from most NSPS source categories in that it is for mass-produce ducts. Thus, important elements in determining BSER include the significant costs and environmental impact nile models with those systems are designed, tested, field evaluated and certified."

EPA did not quantify "mass-produced" in the Rule, I can ascertain that our manufacturing plant is in no way me products. These units are made of heavy steel and take a lot of time to weld, paint and assemble. Since me not a seemble of 2004 — the most units that went out in one calendar year was 986 units (that is combining all 6 mode when the price of oil sky-rocketed and there was a knee-jerk reaction to the high heating costs. In the years for ner oil prices and higher heating costs, furnace sales went back to normal levels where we built and shipped ar year. Starting in 2011 and during this great recession period since then, the most furnaces shipped out from or less. These quantities do not demonstrate "mass-produced residential consumer products" and therefore d as such.

made no provision for manufacturers or end-users alike to apply for any type of Regulatory Relief from this R operating their wood burning appliances under scrutiny of Federal Regulation. There is no provision for Small papply for Financial Hardship Exemptions, either, which would be good for companies that are located in rural ople living in rural areas. The manufacturing sector has taken a hard hit from the recession and now that thing to rural and there is some stimulation in the economy, we are looking, dismally, I might add, at having to gume standing business that has supported a family and many employee families and this is a woman owne